UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/764,638	01/26/2004	Russell A. Budd	YOR920000326US2	9109	
Ryan, Mason &	7590 07/09/200 Lewis, LLP	EXAMINER			
90 Forest Avenue			BECK, ALEXANDER S		
Locust Valley, NY 11560			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2629		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/09/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/764,638	BUDD ET AL.				
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Alexander S. Beck	2629				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>Alexander S. Beck</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>David Schifren (Reg. No. 59,329)</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 23 June 2008.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2)⊡ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>U.S. Patent No. 6,034,653 to Robertson et al.; U.S. Patent No. 6,452,572 to Fan et al.; U.S. Patent No. 5,485,172 to Sawachika et al.</u>						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant's representatives argued the fundamental differences between the instant application and the prior art of record</u> .						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INT FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERPOLITIES ON REVERSE SIDE OF ON Attached sheet.	last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, V	been filed, APP OAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS	LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO			
	/Alexander S. Beck/					
_	Examiner's signature, if requi	red				